

Oral Presentation Score Sheet



| Team No | | Judge No |
|---|---|---|
| 10 - Outstanding 8 - Excellent 6 - Good 4 - Fair 2 - Poor | | 5 - Outstanding 4- Excellent 3- Good 2 - Fair 1- Poor |
| Collecting and Interpreting Data | Score Range (Circle One) | Comments |
| A. Team identified all the players/ interest groups affected by the problem. | 10 8 6 4 2 | |
| B. Team identified major natural resource areas impacted by the problem. | 10 8 6 4 2 | |
| C. References were cited in the team presentation (number and quality of references should be considered) | 10 8 6 4 2 | |
| | (30 points maximum) Subtotal | |
| Application of Data A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of: Environmental/ecological issues related to the problem Economic issues related to the problem, including the cost and | 54321 | |
| benefits of the proposed plan. (Cost of implementing the plan, economic impacts on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding sources, etc. | 54321 | |
| • Social and/or cultural issues related to the situation. (Private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, urban issues, cultural differences.) | 54321 | |
| Political issues related to the problem (Mandates, regulation, impact on political system/community.) | 54321 | |
| B. Team presented one unified, viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. | 10 8 6 4 2 | |
| C. Points were clearly stated and supported. (Conclusions were clearly defined and convincing.) | 10 8 6 4 2 (40 points maximum) Subtotal | |

| Quality | Score Range (Circle One) | Comments | | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion. | 54321 | | | |
| B. Participants exhibited professionalism and enhanced the presentation with eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originally, etc. | 54321 | | | |
| C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, etc. appealing, readable and neat.) | 54321 54321 | | | |
| D. Judges' questions were answered logically and concisely. | (25 points maximum) Subtotal | | | |
| Required Element | | | | |
| Add up to 5 points for equal participation for all team members in presentation | 54321 | | | |
| | (5 points maximum) Subtotal | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Total | Score | | |
| Collecting and Interpreting Data | a (30 max) | | | |
| Application of Data (40 max) | | | | |
| Quality (25 max) | | | | |
| Required Element(5 max) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Length | Start Time | | | |
| ***Deduct 1 point if presentation was less than 9 minutes or more than 11 minutes*** | | | | |
| FINAL SCORE | | | | |
| (out of 100 possible) | | | | |

Judging Note Taking Help Sheet for Parts 1-3: Collecting and Interpreting Data and Application of Data

Scoring Description:

10: Profound, in depth, done in an insightful manner; extremely organized, points to a most effective strategy.

8: Complete, very detailed, logical, ideas well supported and well organized; highly effective, all details appropriate.

6: Complete and accurate; effective, adequate, and appropriate

4: Some misconceptions and flaws, minimally effective, somewhat appropriate

2: Major misconceptions or gaps; ineffective, inadequate, inappropriate

| References were cited in the ream presentation (number and quality of references should be considered). | Score Range (Circle One) |
|--|--------------------------|
| 10: In Depth, all supported | |
| 8: Adequate, different pts of view | |
| 6: 4-5 appropriate sources | 10 8 6 4 2 |
| 4: Several with gaps | 10 0 0 4 2 |
| 2: 1-2 sources Quality: | |
| Participants exhibited professionalism and enhanced the presentation with eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, etc. 5: Extremely effective, variety of ways: creative | |
| 4: All shows effective skills | |
| 3: All do an adequate job | 54321 |
| 2: Several have limited skills | |
| 1: Majority show limited skills | |
| Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable and neat.) | |
| 5: Creative, very effective, greatly enhance presentation | |
| 4: All mentioned before an eye- catching major point | 54321 |
| 3: Correct, adequate, convey major point | |
| 2: Minor flaws | |
| 1: Major flaws | |

Political: regulations, mandates, impact on political systems/ community, etc.

Economic: costs and benefits, cost of implementations, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source (s), etc.

Social & Cultural: ability to meet basic needs, private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice.